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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.040 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.059$
$w R$ factor $=0.171$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=23.9$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

## $\mu$-Pyrazine- $\kappa^{2} N: N^{\prime}$-bis[diiodomercury(II)]

In $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}(\mathrm{Pyp})\right]$ (Pyp $=$ pyrazine, $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ ), centrosymmetric molecules consist of two $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$ units connected by a pyrazine molecule.
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## Comment

The coordination chemistry of divalent mercury with N -donor ligands has been reviewed several times (e.g. Grdenić, 1965; Breitinger \& Brodersen, 1970). In a systematic structural study, we have used diazines as N -donor ligands to mercuric halides (Nockemann, 2002; Meyer \& Nockemann, 2003).

(I)

The structure of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}(\mathrm{Pyp})\right]$, (I), consists of two $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$ molecules, which are connected by the 1 and 4 positions of a pyrazine molecule. The complete discrete molecule is centrosymmetric. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length [2.511 (18) $\AA$ ] is shorter than in the adduct of pyrazine with mercuric bromide $\mathrm{HgBr}_{2}$ (Nockemann \& Meyer, 2004b), indicating somewhat stronger covalent contributions. This affects also the $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}$ angle, which is bent to $163.41(7)^{\circ}$, smaller than that of the bromide at 167.78 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}$ bond lengths are 2.6036 (19) and 2.6278 (19) $\AA$, comparable to those in mercury(II) iodide itself in its metastable orange modification, at $2.612 \AA$ (Jeffrey \& Vlasse, 1967), but shorter than in the

## Figure 1



Packing diagram of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}(\mathrm{Pyp})\right]$, viewed approximately down the $b$ axis.


Figure 2
View of the $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}(\mathrm{Pyp})\right]$ molecule, showing $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.
room-temperature modification, at $2.784 \AA$ (Turner \& Harmon, 1989).

There are no significant interactions between the molecules in the crystal structure. Thus, a molecular compound with a 2:1 ratio of $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$ to pyrazine is formed, even though the synthesis employed a large excess of pyrazine. The series of adducts of pyrazine with $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{HgBr}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$ exhibits a tendency to depolymerization in this order (Nockemann \& Meyer, 2004a,b).

## Experimental

[ $\left.\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}(\mathrm{Pyp})\right]$ was obtained by adding a solution of an excess of pyrazine ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol to an ethanolic solution of mercury(II) iodide ( $2.27 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a Schlenk vessel under an argon atmosphere. Yellow crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of the ethanol into a second vessel, cooled with dry ice. Exposure of the crystals to moist air results in their decomposition after a few hours.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]$
$M_{r}=988.87$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=7.107$ (2) A
$b=7.114$ (3) $\AA$
$c=8.436(3) \AA$
$\alpha=95.72(3)^{\circ}$
$\beta=109.30(2)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=113.14(2)^{\circ}$
$V=357.0(2) \AA^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=1 \\
& D_{x}=4.600 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 2831 \\
& \quad \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=5.3-59.3^{\circ} \\
& \mu=30.09 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=293(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Prism, yellow } \\
& 0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Stoe IPDS-I diffractometer $\varphi$ scans
Absorption correction: numerical
( $X$-SHAPE; Stoe \& Cie, 1998)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.113, T_{\text {max }}=0.261$
2831 measured reflections
1340 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1031 P)^{2}\right]$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.059$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.171$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$S=1.03$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=4.22 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
1340 reflections
56 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-1.49 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97
Extinction coefficient: 0.020 (2)
Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ | $2.511(18)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $1.33(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I} 1$ | $2.6036(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.38(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I} 2$ | $2.6278(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.38(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.32(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I} 1$ | $99.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Hg}$ | $123.3(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I} 2$ | $97.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Hg}$ | $121.9(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I} 2$ | $163.41(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $124(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $114.8(19)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $121(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{I} 2-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $33.2(16)$ | $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $31.7(17)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $1-x, 2-y, 1-z$.
The highest peak and deepest hole were located $1.39 \AA$ from I2 and $0.86 \AA$ from Hg , respectively. H atoms were visible in a difference map and were treated as riding atoms, with a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distance of $0.93 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$.

Data collection: $X$-AREA (Stoe \& Cie, 2001); cell refinement: $X$-STEP32 (Stoe \& Cie, 2000); data reduction: $X$-RED32 (Stoe \& Cie, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.
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